



# Prophylactic Antiemetic Therapy with Ondansetron, Granisetron and Metoclopramide in Patients Undergoing Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy Under GA

Vishal Gupta, Renu Wakhloo, Anjali Mehta, Satya Dev Gupta

## Abstract

The aim of the present study was to compare the antiemetic effect of intravenous Granisetron, Ondansetron & Metoclopramide in a randomized blinded study for prophylaxis of post operative nausea and vomiting (PONV) in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia. 60 patients (ASA I & II) undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy under general anaesthesia were randomly allocated into three equal groups (n=20). Emetic episodes in first 24 hours were recorded and compared in different study groups. Results were analyzed. Minimal emetic episodes were observed in early post-operative period (1-12hrs) in patients who had received intravenous granisetron in comparison to ondansetron and metoclopramide. However, after 12 hours emesis free periods were statistically insignificant between group A and B while patients in group C had no antiemetic effect.

## Keywords

Post Operative Nausea and Vomiting (PONV), Granisetron, Ondansetron, Metoclopramide

## Introduction

The most common and distressing symptoms, which follow anaesthesia and surgery, are pain and emesis. The syndrome of nausea, retching and vomiting is known as 'sickness' and each part of it can be distinguished as a separate entity (1). PONV (post operative nausea and vomiting) has been characterized as big 'little problem'(2) and has been a common complication for both in patients and out patients undergoing virtually all types of surgical procedures.

The consequences of PONV are physical, surgical and anaesthetic complications for patients as well as financial implications for the hospitals or institutions(3). Physical consequences include sweating, pallor, tachycardia, stomach ache, increased chances of oesophageal tear, wound dehiscence and electrolyte imbalance. Surgical consequences include disruption of

vascular anastomoses and increased intracranial pressure(4). The anaesthetic consequences are aspiration pneumonitis and discomfort in recovery. For institutions there is increased financial burden because of increased nursing care, delayed discharge from Phase I and II recovery units and unexpected admissions. Hence, prophylactic antiemetic therapy is needed for all these patients.

Sometimes nausea and vomiting may be more distressing especially after minor and ambulatory surgery, delaying the hospital discharge(5). There are a number of factors influencing the occurrence of PONV which includes patient factors (age, gender, obesity, anxiety, history of motion sickness or previous PONV and gastro paresis), operative procedures, anesthetic techniques (drugs for general anesthesia, regional anesthesia and

From the Department of Anaesthesiology and ICU, Government Medical College, Jammu, J&K- India.

Correspondence to : Dr. Renu Wakhloo, Senior Resident, Department of Anaesthesiology, Govt. Medical College-Jammu, J&K-India



monitored anesthesia care) and post-operative factors (pain, dizziness, ambulation, oral in-take and opioids). Laparoscopic surgery is one condition, where risk of PONV is particularly pronounced. This increased risk of PONV is due to pneumo-peritoneum causing stimulation of mechanoreceptors in the gut (6).

Plenty of antiemetic drugs are available these days which include anticholinergic drugs (scopolamine, atropine), dopamine antagonist drugs (promethazine, prochlorperazine and metoclopramide), antihistaminic drugs (diphenhydramine hydroxizine), 5HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists (ondansetron, granisetron, dolasetron) and steroids (dexamethasone). In spite of plenty of anti-emetic drugs available no single drug is 100% effective in prevention of PONV and combination therapy has got a lot of side effects. The present study was undertaken to compare the antiemetic effects of IV granisetron, ondansetron and metoclopramide for prophylaxis of post-operative nausea and vomiting in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

#### Material & Methods

After approval from Institutional Ethical Committee 60 female patients aged between 20-60 years who were classified as ASA grade I and II were included in the study. An informed consent from each patient was obtained. Patients with history of previous exposure to general anaesthesia, gastrointestinal disease, motion sickness, PONV, pregnancy and menstruation or those who had taken antiemetic drugs within 24 hours of operation were excluded from the study.

On arrival to the operation theatre routine monitoring devices were attached. SpO<sub>2</sub>, heart rate, ECG, blood pressure and ETCO<sub>2</sub> were observed throughout study period. All patients received 0.2mg of glycopyrrolate i/m ½ hour before operation. Using double blind randomization technique these patients were given either Group A (granisetron 3mg), Group-B (ondansetron 4mg) or Group-C (metoclopramide 10mg). All the drugs were diluted in 50ml normal saline and given slowly 10 minutes before induction. Analgesia was provided with injection tramadol 1-2mg/Kg. Induction of anaesthesia was done with injection sodium thiopentone 5mg/kg and intubation was facilitated with injection succinylcholine 2mg/kg body

weight. Maintenance of anaesthesia was done with nitrous oxide (67%), oxygen (33%), isoflurane and muscle relaxation maintained with Inj. pancuronium 0.05-0.1 mg/kg body weight with intermittent positive pressure ventilation to maintain ETCO<sub>2</sub> between 4.6-5.2 Kpa. An orogastric tube was introduced and suction was applied to empty the stomach of air and other contents, the orogastric tube was removed at the completion of surgery before tracheal extubation.

Abdominal insufflation for laparoscopic procedure was achieved with CO<sub>2</sub> and intrabdominal pressure was maintained between 1.3 to 1.8Kpa. At the end of the surgery residual neuromuscular blockage was antagonized by injection glycopyrrolate 10µg/kg and neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg and the patient was extubated. Post operative pain relief was provided with injection diclofenac sodium-75 mg intramuscular when pain score was > 4(VAS). All patients received supplementations and investigator who collected post-operative data was blinded to study drug administered while in the recovery ward.

Episodes of PONV were determined and noted in first 24 hours after operation at different time interval of 1, 4, 9, 12, 18 and 24 hours. At the end of each interval the anaesthesiologist registered whether vomiting has occurred and asked the patients whether they felt nauseated. The result was scored as Nausea =1, Retching=2 and vomiting=3.

Nausea was defined as subjective unpleasant sensation associated with the urge to vomiting. Retching was defined as spasmodic, rhythmic contraction of the respiratory muscles without expulsion of gastric contents and vomiting was defined as forceful expulsion of gastric contents. Patients who experienced any episode of nausea, retching and vomiting were given injection metoclopramide 10mg intravenous slowly as rescue treatment. Patient who received antiemetic were classified as treatment failure and were considered to experience both nausea and vomiting.

Side effects were registered during initial 6 hours in recovery ward. For example headache, dizziness, dry mouth, extra pyramidal symptoms, restlessness or any other abnormal movement.



**Statistical Analysis:** Data was analyzed using Chi square test and analysis of variance. Differences were considered significant when  $P < 0.05$ .

### Results

The treatment groups were comparable with regards to patient demographics (Table I). Complete control of PONV (no emesis, no rescue treatment for 24 hours after administration of study agent) was achieved in 30% of cases in group A, in 55% of cases in group B and in 5% of cases in group C. During 0-12 hours emesis free period was 40%, 75% and 10% in groups A, B and C respectively. Stating it the other way 60% patients in group A, 25% patients in group B and 90% patients in Group-C experienced episodes of nausea and vomiting (Graph I) in first 12 hours. The difference was statistically significant between groups A and B in the first 12 hours but not beyond that (Table II). The difference in emetic sequela was significant between group A and C throughout 24 hours (Table III). The incidence of emesis was also statistically significant between B and C during entire 24 hrs period (Table IV). However from 12-24 hours the difference between group A and B was not much significant. Thus granisetron was definitely found to be superior to the other two agents for suppression of PONV.

**Table I: Demographic Data with Regards to Age and Weight of Study Groups**

Demographic Data	Group-A	Group-B	Group-C
Age(Yrs)	38 $\pm$ 7.7	39.5 $\pm$ 11	38.75 $\pm$ 7.85
Weight(Kg)	50.1 $\pm$ 5.5	50.6 $\pm$ 7.15	52.2 $\pm$ 6.3
P > 0.05 NS			

**Table II: Comparative Evaluation of Emetic Sequelae in Groups**

Groups	Emesis with in first 6 hrs	Emesis in 6-12 hrs	Emesis in 12-18 hrs	Emesis in 18-24 hrs
A	10/20	2/10	0/8	2/8
B	2/20	3/18	4/14	0/14
C	16/20	2/4	1/2	0/1
Chi square test	19.82	2.16	3.65	4.11
	P=0.00004	P=0.33	P=0.16	P=0.12

### Discussion

PONV is amongst the most common complications following anaesthesia and surgery with a selectively high incidence after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The etiology behind the PONV following laparoscopic cholecystectomy is complex and multifactorial and is dependent on variety of factors including patient demographics, type of surgery, anaesthetic technique and postoperative care. Patient related factors are age, sex, obesity, a history of motion sickness, menstruation and history of PONV (6). In this clinical trial however, the treatment groups were similar with respect to patient demographics, operative management and patient related factors. If such factors had not been controlled the number of patients who were observed to be emesis free in the present study would have changed. All patients were anaesthetized and operated by the same team of anaesthesiologists and surgeons. Duration of surgery and agents used for anaesthesia were also similar.

The introduction of 5HT<sub>3</sub> (serotonin) receptor antagonists in 1991 (7) has heralded a major advance in treatment of PONV because of absence of adverse effects that were observed with commonly used antiemetic drugs. The 5HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists produce no sedation, no extra pyramidal symptoms or adverse effects on vital signs and do not interact with other anaesthetic agents. Current 5HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonists are granisetron, tropisetron, ondansetron, alosetron, cilansetron, palonosetron, tropisetron and dolasetron. All the 5HT<sub>3</sub> receptors antagonists have the basic double nitrogen ring back-bone for their chemical structure. This may be the chemical site of action of 5HT<sub>3</sub> receptor antagonist on serotonin (8). 5HT<sub>3</sub> receptors analogues are routinely used now a day to prevent PONV.

Y. Fuji *et al* in 2000 (9) reported that granisetron is statistically superior to droperidol and metoclopramide for prevention of PONV. Results showed the incidence of PONV to be 13%, 30%, 33% and 37% after administration of granisetron, droperidol, metoclopramide and placebo respectively ( $P < 0.05$ ). These findings are in agreement with our study where incidence of PONV was 30% with granisetron 95% with metoclopramide ( $P < 0.001$ ).



Comparison of single dose granisetron to prevent PONV induced by moderately emetogenic chemotherapy has been done which concluded that single oral dose of granisetron 2mg results in equivalent level of protection against nausea and vomiting as intravenous Ondansetron 8 - 16 mg (10).

Bhattacharaya D *et al* (11) in 2001, reported lower incidence of emesis sickness ( $P < 0.05$ ) in early post operative period (Ist six hours) in patients who received intravenous granisetron in comparison to those who received ondansetron and placebo. In this study emetic episodes were 7% with granisetron as compared to 20% seen with ondansetron. This is in agreement with our study where emetic episodes were observed in 60% patients receiving ondansetron compared to 25% receiving granisetron and 90% in metoclopramide group. Statistically granisetron was superior to ondansetron in our study too.

Kushwaha *et al* in 2007 (12) in their study concluded that PONV was controlled better with granisetron (incidence of PONV=16%) than with ondansetron (incidence of PONV=28%). This result is also in accordance with our study.

### Conclusion

From the results of the study it can be safely concluded that granisetron is much more effective than ondansetron in first 12 post operative hours and also that granisetron and ondansetron both are superior to metoclopramide for prophylactic therapy for PONV.

### References

1. Knapp MR, Beecher HK. Post anaesthetic nausea, vomiting and retching: evaluation of anti-emetic drugs dimenhydrinate, chlorpromazine and pentobarbital sodium. *J Am Med Assn* 1956; 160: 376-85
2. Kapur PA. The big "little problem". *Anaesthesia Analgesia* 1991; 73: 243-45.
3. White PF, Watcha MF. Post operative nausea & vomiting: Its etiology and treatment. *Anaesthesiology* 1992; 77: 162-84.
4. Andrew PLR. Physiology of nausea and vomiting. *Br J Anaesthesia* 1992; 69: 2 - 19.
5. Islam S, Jain P N. Post Operative Nausea & vomiting (PONV): A review article. *Ind J Anaesthesiology* 2004; 48: 253-58.
6. Sarkar M, Sarkar A, Dewoolkar L, Charan S. Comparative Study of single dose intravenous ondansetron and metoclopramide as a premedication for prevention of post operative nausea and vomiting in obstetrical laparoscopic surgery under general anaesthesia. *Internet J Anesthesiology* 2007; 13: 2.
7. Sneader W. Drug Discovery: A History. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd.: West Sussex, England, 2005. pp. 217 -19.
8. Rudra A. Comparison of ondansetron, metoclopramide and placebo in prevention of emetic episode following cholecystectomy. *Pak J Anaesth* 1997; 14: 14-19.
9. Fujii Y, Tanaka M, Toyooka H. Clinical affect of granisetron, droperidol & metoclopramide in treatment of post-operative nausea and vomiting after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Br J Surgery* 2000; 87: 128 -30.
10. Gigillo CA, Soares H, Castro CP. Granisetron is equivalent to ondansetron for prophylaxis of chemotherapy induced. Nausea and Vomiting: Results of meta analysis of randomized control trial. *Cancer* 2000; 89: 01-08.
11. Bhattacharya D, Benerjee A. Comparison of ondansetron, granisetron for PONV following day care gynecologist laparoscopy. *Ind J Anaesthesia* 2003; 4 : 279-82.
12. Kushwaha bb, chakraborty a, agarwal j, malick a, bhushan s, bhattacharya p. comparative study of granisetron and ondansetron alone and their combination with dexamethasone, for prevention of ponv in middle ear surgery. *Internet J Anesthesiology* 2007; ; 13 : 2.

**Editorial Board proudly shares that *JK Science*,  
*Journal of Medical Education & Research* is now also under Indexing Coverage  
with MedLine LocatorPlus, IndexCopernicus International -IC Value 4.75(2007),  
Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), OpenMed@NIC and many E-Indexing Agencies  
beside being indexed in Excerpta Medica EMBASE, Indian Science Abstract**